	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/086,713	YASUDA ET AL.
	Examin r	Art Unit
	Jeanine A Goldberg	1634
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>prior to first action</u>		
(1) <u>Jeanine A Goldberg</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Shrinath Malur</u> .	(4)	
Dat of Interview: 8 September 2003	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Ca Llothona		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/086,713

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called on numerous occasions to discuss the application with Mr. Malur. The examiner indicated that with a few amendments to overcome 112/2nd issues and terminal dislocalmers for 6,093,370 and 6,218,126 and 10/003,305 and 10/003,530 the case would be in condition for allowance. The applicant indicated they were willing to filed the amendments and the terminal disclaimers.